# PATENT COOPERATION TREATY

#### Patent Mail Received

From the INTERNATIONAL SEARCHING AUTHORITY	FLB 0 6 2007	PCT
To:		
DORSEY & WHITNEY LLP	NOTIFICA	ATION OF TRANSMITTAL OF
Attn. Abelev, Gary	THE WRITTEN	TIONAL SEARCH REPORT AND OPINION OF THE INTERNATIONAL
250 Park Avenue	SEARCHING AU	THORITY, OR THE DECLARATION
New York NY 10177		
ETATS-UNIS D'AMERIQUE M2	fY	
′	' '	
		(PCT Rule 44.1)
	Date of mailing	
	(day/month/year)	05/02/2007
Applicant's or agent's file reference		
186666/PCT	FOR FURTHER ACT	ION See paragraphs 1 and 4 below
International application No.	International filing date	
PCT/US2006/031275	(day/month/year)	09/08/2006
Applicant		
MILE COMPANY MORROWS		
THE GENERAL HOSPITAL CORPORATION		
The applicant is hereby notified that the international search     Authority have been established and are transmitted herewite	report and the written opi	nion of the International Searching
Filing of amendments and statement under Article 19:	un.	
The applicant is entitled, if he so wishes, to amend the claim	s of the International Appl	ication (see Rule 46):
When? The time limit for filing such amendments is norr International Search Report.	mally two months from the	date of transmittal of the
Where? Directly to the International Bureau of WIPO, 34	shomin dee Calambatta	
1211 Geneva 20, Switzerland, Fascimile No.: (4	1-22) 338.82.70	
For more detailed instructions, see the notes on the acc		
The applicant is hereby notified that no international search     Article 17(2)(a) to that effect and the written opinion of the In	report will be established ternational Searching Autt	and that the declaration under
<ol> <li>With regard to the protest against payment of (an) addition</li> </ol>	nal fee(s) under Rule 40.2	, the applicant is notified that:
the protest together with the decision thereon has been	transmitted to the leteres	tional Process to a 11 and 11
applicant's request to forward the texts of both the profi	est and the decision there	on to the designated Offices
no decision has been made yet on the protest; the appl	licant will be notified as so	on as a decision is made.
4. Reminders		
Shortly after the expiration of 18 months from the priority date, the international Bureau. If the applicant wishes to avoid or postpone provides but the state of the specific provides and the state of the specific provides and the state of the state o	international application	will be published by the
		90bis.1 and 90bis.3, respectively.
before the completion of the technical preparations for international	al publication.	
The applicant may submit comments on an informal basis on the w International Bureau. The International Bureau will send a copy of		
international preliminary examination report has been or is to be est the public but not before the expiration of 30 months from the priori	stablished These commor	nts would also be made available to
Within 19 months from the priority date, but only in respect of com-	o decimpated Offices and	and for lateral Residence
date (in some Offices even later); otherwise, the applicant must, wi acts for entry into the national phase before those designated Office	ithin 20 months from the	priority date, perform the prescribed
In respect of other designated Offices, the time limit of 30 months		no demand is filed within 19
monus.		
See the Annex to Form PCT/IB/301 and, for details about the appli	cable time limits, Office by	Office, see the PCT Applicant's
Guide, Volume II, National Chapters and the WIPO Internet site.		DOCUETED
		THE LEV

Authorized officer

Chrissanthi Choulouilidou

European Patent Office, P.B. 5818 Patentiaan 2
NL-2880 HV Rijswijk
Tel. (431-70) 340-2040, Tx. 31 651 epo nl,
Fax: (+31-70) 340-3016

Form PCT/ISA/220 (October 2005)

Name and mailing address of the International Searching Authority

#### NOTES TO FORM PCT/ISA/220

These Notes are intended to give the basic instructions concerning the filing of amendments under article 19. The Notes are based on the requirements of the Patent Cooperation Treaty, the Regulations and the Administrative instructions under that Treaty, in case of discrepancy between these Notes and those requirements, the latter are applicable. For more detailed information, see also the PCT Admicrant's Quivie, a publication of WIPO.

In these Notes, "Article", "Rule", and "Section" refer to the provisions of the PCT, the PCT Regulations and the PCT Administrative Instructions, respectively.

#### INSTRUCTIONS CONCERNING AMENDMENTS UNDER ARTICLE 19

The applicant has, after having received the international search report and the written opinion of the international Searching Authority, one opportunity to amend the claims of the international application. It should nowever be emphasized that, since all parts of the international application (claims, description and drawings) may be amended during the international prilimitary examination procedule, there is usually no need to the amendment of the claims under Article 19 international prilimitary examination procedule, there is usually no need to the amendment of the claims under Article 19 reason for amending the claims before international publication. Furthermore, it should be emphasized that provisional protection is available in some States only (see PCT Applicant's Guide, Volume II/A, Amerces B1 and B2.)

The attention of the applicant is drawn to the fact that amendments to the claims under Article 19 are not allowed where the thereational Searching Authority has declared, under Article 17(2), that no international search report would be established (see PCT Applicant's Guide, Volume I/A, paragraph 296).

#### What parts of the international application may be amended?

Under Article 19, only the claims may be amended.

During the international phase, the claims may also be amended (or further amended) under Article 34 before the International Preliminary Examining Authority. The description and drawings may only be amended under Article 34 before the International Examining Authority.

Upon entry into the national phase, all parts of the international application may be amended under Article 28 or, where applicable, Article 41.

#### When?

Within 2 months from the date of transmittal of the international search report or 16 months from the priority date, whichever time limit expires later. It should be noted, however, that the amendments will be considered as having been received on time if they are received by the International Bureau after the expiration of the applicable time limit but before the completion of the technical preparations for international publication (Riule 46.1).

#### Where not to file the amendments?

The amendments may only be filed with the International Bureau and not with the receiving Office or the International Searching Authority (Rule 46.2).

Where a demand for international preliminary examination has been/is filed, see below.

#### How?

Either by cancelling one or more entire claims, by adding one or more new claims or by amending the text of one or more of the claims as filed.

A replacement sheet must be submitted for each sheet of the claims which, on account of an amendment or amendments, differs from the sheet originally filed.

All the claims appearing on a replacement sheet must be numbered in Arabic numerals. Where a claim is cancelled, no renumbering of the other claims is required. In all cases where claims are renumbered, they must be renumbered consecutively (Section 205(b)).

The amendments must be made in the language in which the international application is to be published.

#### What documents must/may accompany the amendments?

### Letter (Section 205(b)):

The amendments must be submitted with a letter.

The letter will not be published with the international application and the amended claims. It should not be confused with the "Statement under Article 19(1)" (see below, under "Statement under Article 19(1)").

The letter must be in English or French, at the choice of the applicant. However, if the language of the international application is English, the letter must be in English; if the language of the international application is French, the letter must be in French.

# PATENT COOPERATION TREATY

# **PCT**

## INTERNATIONAL SEARCH REPORT

(PCT Article 18 and Rules 43 and 44)

Applicant's or agent's file reference	FOR FURTUER	
Applicants of agents like relevance	FOR FURTHER	see Form PCT/ISA/220
186666/PCT		ell as, where applicable, item 5 below.
International application No.	International filing date (day/month/year)	(Earliest) Priority Date (day/month/year)
PCT/US2006/031275	09/08/2006	09/08/2005
Applicant		
THE GENERAL HOSPITAL CORPO	RATION	
This international search report has been according to Article 18. A copy is being tra	prepared by this International Searching Aut Insmitted to the International Bureau.	hority and is transmitted to the applicant
This international search report consists of	f a total of sheets.	
	a copy of each prior art document cited in thi	ie ranost
The also accompanies by	a copy of each pilot art document cited in the	is report.
Basis of the report		
a. With regard to the language, the i	nternational search was carried out on the bo	asis of:
X the international a	pplication in the language in which it was file	d
	e international application into	, which is the language
of a translation fur	nished for the purposes of international sear	ch (Rules 12.3(a) and 23.1(b))
b. With regard to any nucleo	tide and/or amino acid sequence disclose	d in the international application, see Box No. I.
2. Certain claims were four	nd unsearchable (See Box No. II)	
3. Unity of invention is lack	ting (see Box No III)	
<ol> <li>With regard to the title,</li> </ol>		
X the text is approved as submitted	omitted by the applicant	
the text has been establish	ned by this Authority to read as follows:	
<ol><li>With regard to the abstract,</li></ol>		
the text is approved as sub	omitted by the applicant	
		rity as it appears in Box No. IV. The applicant
	n the date of mailing of this international sea	rch report, submit comments to this Authority
With regard to the drawings,		
a. the figure of the drawings to be pu	ublished with the abstract is Figure No8	
as suggested by the		
=	Authority, because the applicant failed to su	nnest a figure
=	Authority, because this figure better charact	55
		MIZOS DIE HIVEHION
o none or the lightes is to be	published with the abstract	

#### INTERNATIONAL SEARCH REPORT

International application No.

PCT/US2006/031275

Box No. IV Text of the abstract (Continuation of item 5 of the first sheet)

Apparatus, method and storage medium which can provide at least one first electro-magnetic radiation to a sample 130 and at least one second electro-magnetic radiation to a reference, such that the first and/or second electro-magnetic radiations have a spectrum which changes over time. In addition, a first polarization component of at least one third radiation 405 associated with the first radiation can be combined with a second polarization component of at least one fourth radiation 400 associated with the second radiation with one another. The first and second polarizations may be specifically controlled to be at least approximately orthogonal to one another. Apparatus and method are used for quadrature detection of swept source Fourier domain optical coherence tomography.

A CLASSIFICA	TION OF SUBJECT	MATTED
TAIV	TION OF SUBJECT . B9/02	001101/47
INV. GUI	.B9/UZ	G01N21/47

According to International Patent Classification (IPC) or to both national classification and IPC

#### B. FIELDS SEARCHED

Minimum documentation searched (classification system followed by classification symbols) G01B G01N A61B

Documentation searched other than minimum documentation to the extent that such documents are included in the fields searched

Electronic data base consulted during the international search (name of data base and, where practical, search terms used)

EPO-Internal, WPI Data, IBM-TDB, COMPENDEX, INSPEC

Category*	Citation of document, with indication, where appropriate, of the relevant passages	Relevant to claim No.
х	JUN ZHANG, WOONGGYU JUNG, J. STUART NELSON, ZHONGPING CHEN: "Full range polarization-sensitive Fourier domain optical coherence tomography" OPTICS EXPRESS, vol. 12, no. 24, 29 November 2004 (2004-11-29), pages 6033-6039, XP002415697 abstract page 6034, paragraph 2 - page 6037, last paragraph; figures 1,2	1-10, 12-23, 25,26
X	US 6 020 963 A (DIMARZIO CHARLES A [US]) 1 February 2000 (2000-02-01) column 2, line 60 - column 4, line 21; figures 2,2A,2B	1-10, 12-14

_	X	Further documents are listed in the continuation of Box C.
Ť	Spe	cial categories of cited documents :

- "A" document defining the general state of the art which is not considered to be of particular relevance
  "E" earlier document but published on or after the international
- filing date

  "L" document which may throw doubts on priority claim(s) or which is cited to establish the publication date of another
- which is clied to establish the publication date of another citation or other special reason (as specified)

  "O" document referring to an oral disclosure, use, exhibition or
- "P" document published prior to the international filling date but later than the priority date claimed
- Date of the actual completion of the international search

# X See patent family annex. \*T\* later document published after the international filing date

- or priority date and not in conflict with the application but cited to understand the principle or theory underlying the invention
- "X" document of particular relevance; the claimed invention cannot be considered novel or cannot be considered to involve an inventive step when the document is taken alone
- "Y" document of particular relevance; the claimed invention cannot be considered to involve an inventive step when the document is combined with one or more other such documents, such combination being obvious to a person skilled
- "&" document member of the same patent family

  Date of mailing of the international search report

05/02/2007

Authorized officer

19 January 2007

Name and mailing address of the ISA/ European Patent Office, P.B. 5818 Patentlaan 2 Nt. – 2280 IVP Rijswijk Tel. (+31-70) 340-2016, Tx. 31 651 epo nl, Fax: (+31-70) 340-3016

Petelski. Torsten

(Continuation). DOCUMENTS CONSIDERE	ED TO BE BELEVANT	PCT/US2006/031275
	n, where appropriate, of the relevant passages	Relevant to claim No.
YONGHUA ZHAO, ZH HONGWU REN, J. S' phase-resolved fi coherence tomogr. Hilbert transfor OPTICS LETTERS, vol. 27, no. 2, 15 January 2002 98-100, XP002415 abstract page 98, left-han	ONGPING CHEN, ZHIHUA DING, TUART NELSON: "Real-time unctional optical aphy by use of optical mation"  (2002-01-15), pages	1-10, 12-14
"Self-referenced tomography" OPTICS LETTERS, vol. 27, no. 23, 1 December 2002 v 2085-2087, XP002 page 2085, left-1	R, JOSEPH A. IZATT: Doppler optical coherence  (2002-12-01), pages 415699 hand column, paragraph 1 - hand column, paragraph 3;	1-10, 12-14
AL) 2 December 20	1 (IZATT JOSEPH A [US] ET	1-10, 12-23, 25,26

## FURTHER INFORMATION CONTINUED FROM PCT/ISA/ 210

Continuation of Box II.2

Claims Nos.: 11,24,27,28

Independent claims 27 and 28 contain storage media with software to perform a method of interferometry with the method steps of claims 15 and 26. However, all those method steps like e.g. providing light or combining light are performed by optical arrangements like beamsplitters, detectors or waveplates without the interaction of software. The role and the characteristics of the software are therefore unclear to an extend that no meaningful search can be carried out on claims 27 and 28 (Article 17(2)(a) PCI). Furthermore, it is also unclear, what is meant by "positive" and "negative sections" of a (phase) delay in claims 11 and 24 and therefore also here, no meaningful search is possible.

The applicant's attention is drawn to the fact that claims relating to inventions in respect of which no international search report has been established need not be the subject of an international preliminary examination (Rule 66.1(e) PCT). The applicant is advised that the EPO policy when acting as an International Preliminary Examining Authority is normally not to carry out a preliminary examination on matter which has not been searched. This is the case irrespective of whether or not the claims are amended following receipt of the search report or during any Chapter II procedure. If the application proceeds into the regional phase before the EPO, the applicant is reminded that a search may be carried out during examination before the EPO (see EPO Guideline C-VI, 8.5), should the problems which led to the Article 17(2) declaration be overcome.

#### INTERNATIONAL SEARCH REPORT

International application No. PCT/US2006/031275

Box II Observations where certain claims were found unsearchable (Continuation of item 2 of first sheet)
This International Search Report has not been established in respect of certain claims under Article 17(2)(a) for the following reasons:
Claims Nos.:     because they relate to subject matter not required to be searched by this Authority, namely:
Claims Nos: 11, 24, 27, 28     because they relate to parts of the International Application that do not comply with the prescribed requirements to such an extent that no meaningful International Search can be carried out, specifically:
see FURTHER INFORMATION sheet PCT/ISA/210
Claims Nos.:     because they are dependent claims and are not drafted in eccordance with the occurd and third contends of Fiule 6.4(a).
Box III Observations where unity of invention is lacking (Continuation of item 3 of first sheet)
This International Searching Authority found multiple inventions in this international application, as follows:
As all required additional search fees were timely paid by the applicant, this International Search Report covers all searchable claims.
As all searchable claims could be searched without effort justifying an additional fee, this Authority did not invite payment of any additional fee.
As only some of the required additional search fees were timely paid by the applicant, this International Search Report covers only those claims for which fees were paid, specifically claims Nos.:
No required additional search fees were timely paid by the applicant. Consequently, this International Search Report is restricted to the invention first mentioned in the claims; it is covered by claims Nos.:
Remark on Protest
No protest accompanied the payment of additional search fees.

IN SINATIONAL SEARCH REPORT
Information on patent family members

International application No PCT/US2006/031275

					1 017 002	000,0012,0	
Patent document cited in search report		Publication date		Patent family member(s)		Publication date	
US 6020963	Α	01-02-2000	NONE				
US 2004239943	A1	02-12-2004	NONE				

### PATENT COOPERATION THEATY

INTERNATIONAL SEARCHING AUTHORITY PCT To: WRITTEN OPINION OF THE see form PCT/ISA/220 INTERNATIONAL SEARCHING AUTHORITY (PCT Rule 43bis.1) Date of mailing (day/month/year) see form PCT/ISA/210 (second sheet) Applicant's or agent's file reference FOR FURTHER ACTION see form PCT/ISA/220 See paragraph 2 below International application No. International filing date (day/month/year) Priority date (day/month/year) PCT/US2006/031275 09.08.2006 09 08 2005 International Patent Classification (IPC) or both national classification and IPC INV. G01B9/02 G01N21/47 Applicant THE GENERAL HOSPITAL CORPORATION This opinion contains indications relating to the following items: Box No. I Basis of the opinion ☐ Box No. II Priority Box No. III Non-establishment of opinion with regard to novelty, inventive step and industrial applicability ☐ Box No IV Lack of unity of invention Box No. V Reasoned statement under Rule 43bis.1(a)(i) with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial applicability; citations and explanations supporting such statement ☐ Box No. VI Certain documents cited □ Box No. VII Certain defects in the international application Box No. VIII Certain observations on the international application **FURTHER ACTION** If a demand for international preliminary examination is made, this opinion will usually be considered to be a written opinion of the International Preliminary Examining Authority ("IPEA") except that this does not apply where the applicant chooses an Authority other than this one to be the IPEA and the chosen IPEA has notifed the International Bureau under Rule 66.1 bis(b) that written opinions of this International Searching Authority will not be so considered. If this opinion is, as provided above, considered to be a written opinion of the IPEA, the applicant is invited to submit to the IPEA a written reply together, where appropriate, with amendments, before the expiration of 3 months from the date of mailing of Form PCT/ISA/220 or before the expiration of 22 months from the priority date. whichever expires later. For further options, see Form PCT/ISA/220. For further details, see notes to Form PCT/ISA/220.

Name and mailing address of the ISA:

Form (DCT/ISA/237) (Cover Sheet) (April 2005)

European Patent Office D-80298 Munich Tel. +49 89 2399 - 0 Tx: 523656 epmu d Fax: +49 89 2399 - 4465

Date of completion of this opinion see form PCT/ISA/210

Authorized Officer Petelski, Torsten

Telephone No. +49 89 2399-2441



Ξ	Во	x No	o. I Basis of the opinion
1.	Wit	th reg	gard to the language, this opinion has been established on the basis of:
	$\boxtimes$	the	international application in the language in which it was filed
			ranslation of the international application into , which is the language of a translation furnished for the poses of international search (Rules 12.3(a) and 23.1 (b)).
2.			gard to any <b>nucleotide and/or amino acid sequence</b> disclosed in the international application and ary to the claimed invention, this opinion has been established on the basis of:
	a. t	ype	of material:
			a sequence listing
			table(s) related to the sequence listing
	b. f	orma	at of material:
			on paper
			in electronic form
	c. t	ime d	of filing/furnishing:
			contained in the international application as filed.
			filed together with the international application in electronic form.
			furnished subsequently to this Authority for the purposes of search.
3.		has	addition, in the case that more than one version or copy of a sequence listing and/or table relating thereto been filed or furnished, the required statements that the information in the subsequent or additional ities is identical to that in the application as filed or does not go beyond the application as filed, as propriate, were furnished.
4.	Add	dition	al comments:

# Box No. III Non-establishment of opinion with regard to novelty, inventive step and industrial applicability

Th ob	e questions whether the claimed invention appears to be novel, to involve an inventive step (to be non vious), or to be industrially applicable have not been examined in respect of
	the entire international application
×	claims Nos. 11,24,27,28
bed	cause:
	the said international application, or the said claims Nos. relate to the following subject matter which does not require an international search (specify):
Ø	the description, claims or drawings (indicate particular elements below) or said claims Nos. 11,24,27,28 are so unclear that no meaningful opinion could be formed (specify):
	see separate sheet
	the claims, or said claims Nos. are so inadequately supported by the description that no meaningful opinion could be formed $(specify)$ :
	no international search report has been established for the whole application or for said claims Nos.
	a meaningful opinion could not be formed without the sequence listing; the applicant did not, within the prescribed time limit:
	☐ furnish a sequence listing on paper complying with the standard provided for in Annex C of the Administrative instructions, and such listing was not available to the International Searching Authority in a form and manner acceptable to it.
	☐ furnish a sequence listing in electronic form complying with the standard provided for in Annex C of the Administrative Instructions, and such listing was not available to the International Searching Authority in a form and manner acceptable to it.
	pay the required late furnishing fee for the furnishing of a sequence listing in response to an invitation under Rules 13ter.1(a) or (b).
	a meaningful opinion could not be formed without the tables related to the sequence listings; the applicant did not, within the prescribed time limit, furnish such tables in electronic form complying with the technical requirements provided for in Annex C-bios of the Administrative Instructions, and such tables were not available to the International Searching Authority in a form and manner acceptable to it.
	the tables related to the nucleotide and/or amino acid sequence listing, if in electronic form only, do not comply with the technical requirements provided for in Annex $C$ - $bis$ of the Administrative Instructions.
	See Supplemental Box for further details

Reasoned statement under Rule 43bis.1(a)(i) with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial applicability; citations and explanations supporting such statement

#### 1. Statement

Novelty (N)

Inventive step (IS)

Yes: Claims

14,19

No: Claims 1-10,12,13,15-18,20-23,25,26

Yes: Claims

No: Claims

1-10,12-23,25,26 1-10.12-23.25.26

Yes: Claims No: Claims

2. Citations and explanations

Industrial applicability (IA)

see separate sheet

Box No. VIII Certain observations on the international application

The following observations on the clarity of the claims, description, and drawings or on the question whether the claims are fully supported by the description, are made:

see separate sheet

# Reference is made to the following documents:

- D1: XP002415697; Jun Zhang et al.: "Full range polarization-sensitive Fourier domain optical coherence tomography"
- D2: US-A-6 020 963
- D3: XP002415698; Yonghua Zhao et al.: "Real-time phase-resolved functional optical coherence tomography by use of optical Hilbert transformation"
- D4: XP002415699; Siavash Yazdanfar, Joseph Izatt: "Self-referenced Doppler optical coherence tomography"

#### Re Item III.

# 1. No opinion

- (i) Claims 27 and 28 contain storage media with software to perform a method of interferometry according to the method steps of claims 15 and 26. However, all those method steps like, e.g. providing light or combining light, are performed by optical arrangements like beamsplitters, detectors or waveplates without the interaction of software. It is unclear, how software should perform said method steps and therefore no opinion on novelty or inventive step can be given for claims 27 and 28.
- (ii) It is unclear, what is meant by "positive" and "negative sections" of a (phase) delay in claims 11 and 24. This renders the claims so unclear that no opinion on novelty or inventive step can be given.

#### Re Item V.

#### 2. Novelty

The present application does not meet the criteria of Article 33(1) PCT, because the subject-matter of the following claims is not new in the sense of Article 33(2) PCT.

### 2.1 Independent claim 1

Claim 1 is formulated in such a broad manner that even a single polarizing beamsplitter would be novelty destroying. When placed in an interferometer behind whatever radiation source (also with changing spectrum), a beamsplitter (representing the first and second arrangement) is capable of providing polarized radiation to a sample and a reference and is at the same time capable of combining the orthogonally polarized radiation that is reflected back to the beamsplitter from the sample and the reference.

In particular, documents **D1**, **D2**, **D3** and **D4** disclose al features of **claim 1** (**D1**: p.6034, second paragraph - p.6037, second paragraph; fig.1,2; **D2**: col.2, l.60 - col.4, l.21; fig.2A,2B; **D3**: p.99, left-hand col., top - right-hand col., 2nd par.; fig.1, **D4**: p.2085, left-hand col., 3rd par. - p.2086, left-hand col., 1st par.; fig.1), because they disclose a first beamsplitter (**D1**: 2x2 coupler; **D2**: 30; **D3**: BS; **D4**: "50/50") that provides radiation to a sample (**D1**: "sample"; **D2**: target 90; **D3**: "sample"; **D4**: "sample") and a reference (**D1**: "mirror"; **D2**: mirror in "RSOD"; **D3**: 1110; **D4**: "reference") and a second beamsplitter (in **D1**, **D3** and **D4** identical with the first beamsplitter; in **D2**: 60) that combines the reflected or transmitted beams. In all documents, sample and reference beams contain two orthogonal polarization-components, which are both combined by the splitter. Furthermore, the spectrum of first and second radiation in **D1** changes over time (swept-source).

#### 2.2 Independent claim 13

In addition to the first arrangement (see item 1.1), **D1**, **D2**, **D3** and **D4** disclose at least two second arrangements (**D1**: detectors D1 and D2; **D2**: detectors 75,75',76,76'; **D3**: detectors of port D and E; **D4**: detectors D1 and D2), which are capable of generating interference signals of whatever radiation is projected on it. In addition, said documents disclose a third birefringent arrangement (**D1**: phase modulator in reference path; **D2**: waveplate 120; **D3**: waveplate QWP; **D4**: Wollaston prism), capable of controlling a phase difference of the interference signals on the at least two detectors

# 2.3 Independent claim 15

According to item 2.1, D1 discloses all features of claim 15.

# 2.4 Independent claim 26

**D1** discloses the use of an OCT-apparatus (p.6034, 2nd par. - p.6037, last par.; fig.1,2) comprising the steps of:

providing one first radiation to a sample and a second radiation to a reference (fig.1), wherein the spectrum of both radiations changes over time (swept source); generating a first signal (on detector D1) and a second signal (on D2) different from the first signal (different polarizations are reflected differently by the sample) as functions of first and second interferences between one third radiation (back-reflected from sample) and one fourth radiation (back-reflected from reference mirror) and with an electro-optic phase modulator (electrically induced birefringence) specifically controlling, as a function of birefringence, a difference in phases of first and second interferences (the phase difference between sample and reference arm is changed or controlled by the phase modulator) to exclude πτ (at certain times, the polarization modulator creates circular polarization, and for equal length of reference and sample paths the interference signals will be out of phase by approximately π/2, knowing the implicit condition that the phase modulation depth is not bigger than π/2).

#### 2.5 Dependent claims

The features that are added by the dependent claims 2, 4-9, 10, 12, 16-18, 20-23 and 25 are known from at least D1:

claim 2: third arrangement is a detector

claim 4 and 18: the detector modifies the radiation-signal into an electric signal as a function of predetermined efficiency data (A/mW)

**claim 5**: the efficiency data is based on a characteristic like the material of the detector.

**claim 6**: the detector is capable of sequentially obtaining a plurality of signals, determining statistical characteristics of the signals like average light intensity over

response time such that the predetermined efficiency data is derived, should the light intensity be known

claims 7, 8, 9, 20, 21 and 22: these claims define phase differences that depend on the characteristics of the sample and do therefore not limit the apparatus or method any further

claim 10 and 23: there is a phase delay between reference and sample arm and the topographic image is calculated by a computer as function of the delay and the signals of both detectors

claim 12 and 25: the sign and magnitude of the phase delay is measured and reconstructed by a computer

claim 16 and 17: both detectors detect interference signals between the polarization components of both beams

### 3. Inventive step

Dependent claims 14 and 19 do not contain any features which, in combination with the features of any claim to which they refer, meet the requirements of the PCT in respect of inventive step (Article 33(1) and (3) PCT), since they are merely minor modifications of the apparatuses that are disclosed in the prior art documents and which a skilled person would consider without the exercise of inventive skill, especially as the advantages thus achieved can readily be foreseen.

#### Re Item VIII.

#### 4. Conciseness

Although the dependent apparatus claims 1 and 13 and the respective method claims 15 and 26 have been drafted as separate independent claims, they relate effectively to the same subject-matter and differ from each other only with regard to the definition of the subject-matter for which protection is sought. The aforementioned claims therefore lack conciseness and as such do not meet the requirements of Article 6 PCT.

Bitte beachten Sie, dass angeführte Nichtpatentliteratur (wie z.B. wissenschaftliche oder technische Dokumente) je nach geltendem Recht dem Urheberrechtsschutz und/oder anderen Schutzarten für schriftliche Werke unterliegen könnte. Die Vervielfältigung urheberrechtlich geschützter Texte, ihre Verwendung in anderen elektronischen oder gedruckten Publikationen und ihre Weitergabe an Dritte ist ohne ausdrückliche Zustimmung des Rechtsinhabers nicht gestattet.

Veuillez noter que les ouvrages de la littérature non-brevets qui sont cités, par exemple les documents scientifiques ou techniques, etc., peuvent être protégés par des droits d'auteur et/ou toute autre protection des écrits prévue par les législations applicables. Les textes ainsi protégés ne peuvent être reproduits ni utilisés dans d'autres publications électroniques ou imprimées, ni rediffusés sans l'autorisation expresse du titulaire du droit d'auteur.

Please be aware that cited works of non-patent literature such as scientific or technical documents or the like may be subject to copyright protection and/or any other protection of written works as appropriate based on applicable laws. Copyrighted texts may not be copied or used in other electronic or printed publications or re-distributed without the express permission of the copyright holder.